

Call for Papers

Paper Development Workshop (PDW)
for the Human Relations Special Issue on
‘Organization-Creation: Theorizing the Processes and
Practices of Entrepreneurship at Work’

PDW takes place on 1st December 2022

Audencia Business School (95 Rue Falguière, 75015 Paris)

Deadline for submission of full working papers for the Human Relations PDW: September 24th, 2022

(Link to the call for the Special issue in Human Relations, for which there is a separate deadline – April 30th, 2023, is found here: <https://journals.sagepub.com/pb-assets/cmscontent/HUM/HR%20SI%20Organization-Creation%20CfP%20FINAL-1647258358.pdf>)

Conveners:

Claire Champenois, Associate Professor, Audencia Business School, France.

Dimo Dimov Professor, School of Management, University of Bath, UK.

Silvia Gherardi Professor, Department of Sociology and Social Research, University of Trento, Italy.

Daniel Hjorth Professor, Copenhagen Business School, Denmark, and Nottingham Business School, UK.

Neil Aaron Thompson, Associate Professor, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Human Relations Associate Editor: Alessia Contu Professor, University of Massachusetts, USA.

About Human Relations SI Paper Development Workshop:

This Human Relations Workshop is organized to support the development of high-quality papers to the special issue in Human Relations entitled ‘Organization-Creation: Theorizing the Processes and Practices of Entrepreneurship at Work’. Authors planning to submit to the special issue will have the opportunity to interact in depth and share insights on their ideas. The workshop is sponsored by Audencia Business School and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam. Please note that

although participation in the workshop is encouraged, it is not a prerequisite for submitting a paper to the Special Issue.

Introduction

Human Relations has long hosted a dialogue between entrepreneurship, work, and organization scholars. Research on topics such as entrepreneurial narratives (Kibler, Mandl, Farny, & Salmivaara, 2021), leadership as entrepreneurial agency (Edwards & Meliou, 2015), entrepreneurial careers (Valette & Culié, 2015) or entrepreneurial identity work (Essers & Benschop, 2009; Essers, Doorewaard, & Benschop, 2013) demonstrates the synergistic potential of these domains by highlighting distinct aspects of human practices in a dynamic world. However, the vast majority of studies of entrepreneurship, work and organization have kept a polite distance by emphasizing domain differences and treating them as externalities (e.g., Storey, Salaman and Platman, 2005; McCabe, 2009). With this Special Issue, we aim to reinvigorate the intersections between entrepreneurship, work, and organization by advancing research on the organizational processes and practices of entrepreneurship at work. To do so, we focus on the notion of “entrepreneurship” (Steyaert, 2007) that puts emphasis on the processes of organization-creation as collective work taking place in new ventures, in existing organizations or between them (Hjorth, 2015). This enables to link two key dimensions of contemporary work: the organizational in entrepreneurship and the entrepreneurial in organizing.

With the growing emphasis on identifying entrepreneurial employees and bolstering “enterprising selves” at work (Miller and Rose, 1990; Kallinikos, 2004; Ainsworth and Hardy, 2008; Gleadle et al., 2008), the question of how such ‘entrepreneurialisation’ affects the organizational conditions for work, including hierarchies of power and authority, gains urgency. This trend has been deftly critiqued (Ahl and Marlow, 2021; Jones and Spicer, 2009) based on how enterprise discourse and associated apparatus of entrepreneurial management affects control, agency, and subjectivity (Miller and Rose, 1990; McCabe, 2009). Nevertheless, as organizational processes and practices of entrepreneurship become normalised in our conceptions of contemporary work (Kallinikos, 2004), they have largely escaped scholarly attention (for an exception, see Goss, 2005; Hjorth, 2005).

Focus is placed on the concept of ‘entrepreneurship’ as ongoing sensitivity to and disclosure of emerging possibilities through the process and practice of organization-creation, that is, the creation of relatively stabilized collectives sharing work, responsibilities, visions, rules or knowledge. Organization-creation operates in the in-between of order and disorder, stability and novelty. It acknowledges the “creative and social/collective organizing process...” (Johannisson, 2011, p. 137), amidst an unfinalized, open-ended trajectory (Hjorth and Holt, 2016; Dimov, 2020). Creating new organization affirms novelty rather than existing order and therefore makes necessary organization-creation for a new order to operate (Hjorth, 2014). Importantly, this conceptualization represents an inflection point of both work and entrepreneurship studies, in that organized but novel work is required to adapt to or bring about new organizations, social value and alternative futures (cf. Munoz et al., 2020, Shepherd 2020, Etzioni 2011). Yet, entrepreneurship occurs amongst existing organizational conditions of work. In this sense, while order needs entrepreneurship to keep

up with a changing world, entrepreneuring needs order to organize people and resources to create value. We argue that, rather than being refined in isolation, work, organization, and entrepreneurship research can be bridged by establishing new ways of understanding organization-creation as a process in tension with the existing organization of work. This represents a challenge: creating the organizational conditions for a new order to become actualised also means a new organization of work is demanded. What do the organizational processes and practices for organization-creation look like, and how do such conditions co-exist with the organization of present work?

To further understand this dynamic tension between organizational inertia, work and entrepreneuring, we posit that there is much to be gained by adopting onto-epistemological assumptions of relational and processual constitution of organizing, entrepreneuring, and work (Chia, 1996; Goss, 2005; Tsoukas and Chia, 2002; Hjorth et al., 2015). With the promise that an entrepreneuring worldview offers, there are many pressing issues that demand further theoretical development. First and foremost, questions remain about how to organize for organization-creation—i.e., how to induce collective entrepreneuring as a form of work has become the key issue for new and existing organizations (Amabile, 1998; Alexy et al., 2021; Hargadon and Bechky, 2006; Burton et al., 2019). Rather than merely ‘managing a changing machine-worker relationship’ or implementing a strategic instrument by top-management (Burgelman, 1983; Zahra and Covin, 1995), entrepreneuring is an organic organization-creation process (surely with strategic implications) occurring at the intersection of an already organized but changing world. Second, entrepreneurship, work and organization scholars have not yet absorbed the full potential of the entrepreneuring perspective (Champenois et al., 2020; 2021) such that key questions remain on how affective, relational, and material practices are stabilized into ‘organizations’ while change remains ongoing and possible (Gherardi, 2019). That is, the question of how parallel dynamics of both stabilization and movement in organization-creation processes take place deserves further attention. Third, existing research has yet to fully attend to emergence (Katz and Gartner, 1988) and metamorphoses (Weiskopf and Steyaert, 2009) of practices that play out at work. Finally, existing scholarship tends to have a retrospective gaze in that we learn about the work practitioners are doing to organize, but this comes at the expense of first-person orientation towards novelty and uncertainty (Cole, 1959; Dimov et al., 2020; Gartner, 2007; Goss, 2005; Sarasvathy, 2003). We see much promise in how process- as well as practice-based studies in organization- as well as entrepreneurship studies may start to join forces in imagining and researching the becoming (and perishing) of organization of work, as a process of entrepreneuring.

Objective of this special issue

The objective of this Special Issue is to connect the organizational in entrepreneuring with the entrepreneurial in organizing at and of work. To do so, we intend to stimulate theory-building on the practices and processes of entrepreneuring occurring in-between established orders and the challenging/breaking thereof. In particular, we welcome submissions that further our understanding of how to organize for organization-creation (Thompson, 2018; Amabile, 1998; Hargadon and Bechky, 2006). Consistent with the Scope and Aim of Human Relations, we invite contributions from all geographical areas, with particular interest in entrepreneuring as a collective process, and research that affirm diversity and consider the influences of gendered, social, political,

institutional, ethical, cultural, and economic contexts for entrepreneurship and work (Yousafzi, et al. 2018). Moreover, we call for studies that deepen our knowledge of how the affective, relational, and material aspects of entrepreneuring intersect in organization-creation at work. Articles included in this Special Issue will make new theoretical gains by addressing the dynamics of both stabilization and movement in organization-creation processes, including the emergence and metamorphoses of practices that play out within and because of broader social and ecological systems. Finally, we aim to develop scholarship that integrates the first-person orientation towards novelty and uncertainty (Dimov et al., 2020), rather than a retrospective gaze, and that joins practitioners in ‘imagining-with’ alternative political, social, technological and ecological futures that have yet to come into being. Taken together, this Special Issue will set a new basis for entrepreneuring research that is sensitive to contemporary trends of new forms of work.

Indicative research questions:

- What changes in self- and collective identities are presently characterising changing organization of work in the wake of the recent turn towards entrepreneuring?
- What has work and social relations in/around work become in the wake of emphasizing the entrepreneurial worker as an ideal subjectivity?
- How are employment relations and careers modified by entrepreneuring at work as an ideal or as a concrete practice?
- What are the resistances to entrepreneuring at/of work?
- How do conceptions of entrepreneurial action align with organizational processes and work practices?
- What conflicts and tensions do emerge from organizing for entrepreneurship at work and from the expectations placed on enterprising workers?
- How does management of work handle entrepreneurship processes at work?
- What is organizational entrepreneurship today, and how is it expressed on individual, group- and organizational levels?
- What characterizes entrepreneuring as an organization-creation process at work, in Western and in post-colonial contexts?
- How are the conditions for work ontologically different in the existing and the emerging organization?
- What can process perspectives on organization do for studying, describing, and analyzing entrepreneuring in a world calling for responsible and sustainable businesses?
- What are the methodological challenges involved with studying organization-creation at work as a future-building process in a post-anthropocentric perspective?

Potential contributors should note this Special Issue is in line with, and strongly supportive of, the mission and focus of Human Relations. Potential contributors are strongly advised to familiarize themselves with the Journal’s scope and expectations. In line with Human Relations policy and the objectives of the Special Issue, please note:

- 1) We are committed to pluralism in terms of perspectives and theoretical grounding. We are particularly interested in receiving submissions from and about marginalized voices and contexts.
- 2) We are seeking papers which address the social relations in and around work and workplaces – across the levels of immediate personal relationships, organizations and their processes, and wider political and economic systems.
- 3) We are keen to receive submissions from a critical social science perspective which challenge orthodoxy, engage critically across disciplines where relevant, and engage critically with practical and policy implications.
- 4) We invite papers which address the interstices and linkages between work and the 'micro' (immediate relationships between people), the 'meso' (organizations and workplaces and their rules, processes and structures) and the 'macro' (the wider economy and society).
- 5) Conceptual or empirical papers are equally welcome.
 - a. Rigorously executed research following any social science method is welcome in empirical papers, not least multi-level or longitudinal studies. Papers using all kinds of qualitative methods are encouraged.
 - b. Conceptual or theoretical papers which make a novel contribution are encouraged but should be in line with the Call for Papers' focus on contextual understanding.
- 6) The guest editors will select a number of papers to be included in the Special Issue, but other papers submitted in this process may be considered for publication in a regular issue of Human Relations if the rejection is owing to fit with the Special Issue.

Submissions

The Human Relations Special Issue Paper Development Workshop will take place on 1st December 2022, in Paris, France. Author's guidelines: submissions should be draft working paper versions, preferably already following the Journal Human Relations' guidelines for submitting authors, available here: <https://www.humanrelationsjournal.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/How-to-prepare-your-submission.pdf>.

Application to the workshop: please send working papers by **24th September 2022** to through the following link: https://vueconomics.eu.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_bpydB6568YWxbg2

Authors will be notified of acceptance or otherwise by 15th October 2022. The venue of the workshop is Audencia Business School, Paris, France (<https://about.audencia.com/en/campuses/paris-campus/>).

Following the workshop, to be considered for publication in the Special Issue, full length papers must be submitted through the journal's online submission system: <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/hr> between April 1st and April 30th 2023. Please make sure to tick the box "Special Issue" when submitted, and also to indicate in your cover letter that the submission is intended for this Special Issue.

Please direct questions about the submission process, or any administrative matter, to the Editorial Office: humanrelationsjournal@tavinstitute.org. Questions about expectations, requirements, and the appropriateness of a topic should be directed to the guest editors of the special issue (contact Dr. Neil A. Thompson n.a.thompson@vu.nl). Again, please note that participation in the workshop is encouraged but not a prerequisite for submission to the Special Issue in Human Relations.

References

- Ahl H and Marlow S (2021). Exploring the false promise of entrepreneurship through a postfeminist critique of the enterprise policy discourse in Sweden and the UK. *Human Relations* 74(1): 41-68.
- Alexy O, Poetz K, Puranam P and Reitzig, M (2021) Adaptation or Persistence? Emergence and Revision of Organization Designs in New Ventures. *Organization Science*, 32(6), 1439-1472.
- Ainsworth S and Hardy C (2008) The Enterprising Self: An Unsuitable Job for an Older Worker. *Organization* 15(3): 389-405.
- Amabile T M (1998) How to Kill Creativity. *Harvard Business Review* 76(5): 76-87.
- Battilana J, Leca, B and Boxenbaum, E (2009) How Actors Change Institutions: Towards a Theory of Institutional Entrepreneurship. *The Academy of Management Annals* 3(1), 65–107.
- Baumol W J (1990) Entrepreneurship: Productive, Unproductive, and Destructive. *Journal of Political Economy* 98(5), 893–921.
- Burke G T and Wolf C (2020) The process affordances of strategy toolmaking when addressing wicked problems. *Journal of Management Studies* 58(2): 359-388.
- Burton M D, Colombo M G, Rossi-Lamastra C and Wasserman N (2019) The organizational design of entrepreneurial ventures. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal* 13(3), 243–255.
- Champenois C, Lefebvre V and Ronteau S (2020). Entrepreneurship as practice: systematic literature review of a nascent field. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 32(3- 4), 281-312.
- Champenois C, Thompson N A and Hjorth D (2021) Sub-theme 51: Organization-in-Creation: The Processes and Practices of Entrepreneurship. 37th EGOS Colloquium.
- Chia R (1996) Teaching Paradigm Shifting In Management Education: University Business Schools and the Entrepreneurial Imagination. *Journal of Management Studies* 33: 409-428.
- Clarke J (2011) Revitalizing Entrepreneurship: How Visual Symbols are Used in Entrepreneurial Performances. *Journal of Management Studies* 48(6), 1365–1391.
- Déjean F, Gond J-P and Leca B (2004) Measuring the Unmeasured: An Institutional Entrepreneur Strategy in an Emerging Industry. *Human Relations* 57(6), 741-764.
- Dimov, D. (2020). Opportunities, Language, and Time. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 34(3), 333-351.

- Dimov D, Schaefer R and Pistrui J (2020) Look Who Is Talking ... and Who Is Listening: Finding an Integrative “We” Voice in Entrepreneurial Scholarship. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice* 45(5): 1176-1196.
- du Gay P (1994) Making Up Managers: Bureaucracy, Enterprise and the Liberal Art of Separation. *British Journal of Sociology* 45(4): 655-674.
- Edwards T and Meliou E (2015) Explaining leadership in family firms: Reflexivity, social conditioning and institutional complexity. *Human Relations* 68(8), 1271-1289.
- Essers C and Benschop Y (2009) Muslim businesswomen doing boundary work: The negotiation of Islam, gender and ethnicity within entrepreneurial contexts. *Human Relations* 62(3), 403-423.
- Essers C, Doorewaard H and Benschop Y (2013) Family ties: Migrant female business owners doing identity work on the public–private divide. *Human Relations* 66(12), 1645-1665.
- Farias C, Fernandez P, Hjorth D and Holt R (2019) Organizational Entrepreneurship, Politics and the Political. *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development* 31(7-8): 555-566.
- Fournier V, Grey C (1999) Too Much, Too Little and Too Often: A Critique of du Gay’s Analysis of Enterprise. *Organization* 6(1):107-128.
- Garud R., Hardy C and Maguire, S (2007) Institutional entrepreneurship as embedded agency: an introduction to the special issue. *Organization Studies* 28, 957–969.
- Gherardi, S. (2019) *How to conduct a practice-based study: problems and methods*, (second edition), Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Gleadle P, Cornelius N and Pezet E (2008) Enterprising Selves: How Governmentality Meets Agency. *Organization* 15(3), 307-313.
- Goss, D. (2005) Entrepreneurship and 'the social,' *Human Relations*, 58(5): 617-636.
- Hendry J (2000) Strategic Decision Making, Discourse, And Strategy As Social Practice. *Journal of Management Studies* 37(7), 955–978.
- Hjorth D (2005) Organizational entrepreneurship: With de Certeau on creating heterotopias (or spaces for play). *Journal of Management Inquiry* 14(4), 386-398.
- Hjorth D (Ed.) (2012) *Handbook of Organizational Entrepreneurship*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Hjorth D (2014) Entrepreneurship as Organisation-creation, in Sternberg R and Kraus G (Eds.) *Handbook of Research on Entrepreneurship and Creativity* Cheltenham: Edward Elgar, pp. 97-121.
- Hjorth D (2015) Sketching a Philosophy of Entrepreneurship in Baker T and Welter F (Eds.) *The Routledge Companion to Entrepreneurship*, London: Routledge, pp. 41-58.
- Hjorth D and Holt R (2016) It's entrepreneurship, not enterprise: Ai Weiwei as entrepreneur. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights* 5: 50-54.
- Hjorth D, Holt R and Steyaert C (2015) Entrepreneurship and process studies. *International Small Business Journal* 33(6), 599–611.
- Hjorth D, Strati A, Drakopoulou Dodd, S and Weik E (2018) Organisational Creativity, Play and Entrepreneurship. *Organization Studies* 39(2-3): 155-168.
- Janssens M and Steyaert C (2019) The Site of Diversalizing: The Accomplishment of Inclusion in Intergenerational Dance. *Journal of Management Studies* joms.12524.

- Jarzabkowski P (2003) Strategic Practices : An Activity Theory. *Journal of Management Studies* 40(1), 23–55.
- Johannisson B (2011) Towards a practice theory of entrepreneuring. *Small Business Economics* 36(2), 135–150.
- Kallinikos J (2004) The Social Foundations of the Bureaucratic Order. *Organization* 11(1):13-36.
- Katz J and Gartner W B (1988) Properties of Emerging Organizations. *Academy of Management Review* 13(3): 429-441.
- Kibler E, Mandl C, Farny S and Salmivaara V (2021) Post-failure impression management: A typology of entrepreneurs' public narratives after business closure. *Human Relations* 74(2), 286-318.
- Kumaraswamy A, Garud R and Ansari S (Shaz) (2018) Perspectives on Disruptive Innovations. *Journal of Management Studies* 55(7), 1025–1042.
- Lounsbury M, Gehman J and Glynn M A (2019) Beyond Homo Entrepreneurus: Judgment and the Theory of Cultural Entrepreneurship. *Journal of Management Studies* 56(6): 1214–1236.
- Lounsbury M and Glynn M A (2001) Cultural Entrepreneurship: Stories, Legitimacy, and the Acquisition of Resources. *Strategic Management Journal* 22(6/7, Special Issue: Strategic Entrepreneurship: Entrepreneurial Strategies for Wealth Creation), 545–564.
- Mason K, Friesl M and Ford C J (2019) Markets under the Microscope: Making Scientific Discoveries Valuable through Choreographed Contestations. *Journal of Management Studies* 56(5), 966–999.
- McCabe D (2009) Enterprise contested: Betwixt and between the discourses of career and enterprise in a UK bank. *Human Relations* 62(10):1551-1579.
- McMullen J S and Dimov D (2013) Time and the Entrepreneurial Journey: The Problems and Promise of Studying Entrepreneurship as a Process. *Journal of Management Studies* 50(8): 1481-1512.
- McMullen J S and Warnick B J (2016) Should We Require Every New Venture to Be a Hybrid Organization? *Journal of Management Studies* 53(4), 630–662.
- Miller P and Rose N (1990) Governing economic life. *Economy and Society* 19:1, 1-31
- Muñoz P, Kimmitt J and Dimov D (2020) Packs, Troops and Herds: Prosocial Cooperatives and Innovation in the New Normal. *Journal of Management Studies* 57(3), 470–504.
- Ramírez-Pasillas M, Lundberg H and Nordqvist M (2020) Next Generation External Venturing Practices in Family Owned Businesses. *Journal of Management Studies* 58(1): 63-103.
- Rindova V, Barry D and Ketchen D J Jr (2009) Introduction to Special Topic Forum, *Entrepreneuring as Emancipation*, *Academy of Management Review* 34(3): 477-491.
- Seidl D and Whittington R (2021) How Crisis Reveals the Structures of Practices. *Journal of Management Studies* 58(1), 238–242.
- Shepherd D A (2020) COVID 19 and Entrepreneurship: Time to Pivot? *Journal of Management Studies* 57(8), 1750–1753.
- Steyaert C (2007) 'Entrepreneuring' as a conceptual attractor? A review of process theories in 20 years of entrepreneurship studies. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development* 19(Nov.): 453-477.

- Storey J, Salaman G and Platman K (2005) Living with enterprise in an enterprise economy: Freelance and contract workers in the media. *Human Relations* 58(8):1033-1054.
- Symon G and Whiting R (2019) The Sociomaterial Negotiation of Social Entrepreneurs' Meaningful Work. *Journal of Management Studies* 56(3), 655–684.
- Teague B, Tunstall, R, Champenois, C and Gartner W B (2021) Editorial: An Introduction to 9 Entrepreneurship as Practice. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour and Research* 27(3): 569-578.
- Tello-Rozas S, Pozzebon M and Maillhot C (2015) Uncovering Micro-Practices and Pathways of Engagement That Scale Up Social-Driven Collaborations: A Practice View of Power. *Journal of Management Studies* 52(8), 1064–1096.
- Thompson N A (2018) Imagination and Creativity in Organizations. *Organization Studies* 39, 229–250.
- Tsoukas H and Chia R (2002) An organizational becoming: Rethinking organizational change. *Organization Science* 13(5), 567–582.
- Valette A and Culié J-D (2015) Career scripts in clusters: A social position approach. *Human Relations* 68(11), 1745-1767.
- Waldron T L, Fisher G and Pfarrer M (2016) How Social Entrepreneurs Facilitate the Adoption of New Industry Practices. *Journal of Management Studies* 53(5), 821–845.
- Weiskopf R and Steyaert C (2009) Metamorphoses in entrepreneurship studies: towards an affirmative politics of entrepreneuring. In Hjorth, D. & Steyaert, C. (eds.) *The Politics and Aesthetics of Entrepreneurship*. Cheltenham UK : Edward Elgar, pp. 183-201.
- Wickert C, Post C, Doh, J P, Prescott J E and Prencipe A (2020) Management Research that Makes a Difference: Broadening the Meaning of Impact. *Journal of Management Studies* 58(2): 297-320.
- Williams T A and Shepherd D A (2018) To the Rescue!?! Brokering a Rapid, Scaled and Customized Compassionate Response to Suffering after Disaster. *Journal of Management Studies* 55(6), 910–942.
- Yamauchi Y and Hiramoto T (2020) Performative Achievement of Routine Recognizability: An Analysis of Order Taking Routines at Sushi Bars. *Journal of Management Studies* 57(8): 1610-1642.
- Zahra S A and Covin J G (1995) Contextual influences on the corporate entrepreneurship performance relationship: a longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Business Venturing* 10(1): 43-58